

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/605,256		09/18/2003	Per Nylen	07589.0053.NPUS01	2255	
28694	7590	05/19/2005		EXAMINER		
NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP				PASCHALL, MARK H		
1300 EYE S	TREET N	ıw .				
SUITE 400 EAST				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				3742		

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Office Action Summers	10/605,256	NYLEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark H Paschall	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this commu  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	own from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		· •	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been recei nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01-29-04</u>.</li> </ul>		Date: I Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

### Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the inclusion of legal phraseology such as "means". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6,8-10,13,15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Landes. Note elements 52 in Figure 1 in Landes, which distribute powder radially inward and are evenly spaced around the periphery of the torch nozzle.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 3742

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7,11,12,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landes. Claim 7 defines the number of holes as 10 while Landes mentions a plurality and three or more. Choice of 10 is a matter of routine design left to the discretion of the designer and dependent on the materials sprayed and the quality desired. Likewise the end-piece diameters called for in claims 11 and 12 are an obvious design choice for one of ordinary skill in the art.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Trapani et al, Mondain-Monval et al, Ioh et al, Rotolico et al and Bernicki et al are cited for disclosing spraying systems of interest

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (703) 305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

mp